arXiv:1106.3704v1 [math.AP] 19 Jun 2011

VANISHING VISCOSITY LIMITS FOR THE DEGENERATE LAKE EQUATIONS WITH NAVIER BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

QUANSEN JIU

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, P. R. China
Email: jiuqs@mail.cnu.edu.cn

DONGJUAN NIU

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, P. R. China
Email: djniu@mail.cnu.edu.cn

JIAHONG WU

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University
Stillwater, OK 74078, USA
Email: jiahong@math.okstate.edu

ABSTRACT. The paper is concerned with the vanishing viscosity limit of the two-dimensional degenerate viscous lake equations when the Navier slip conditions are prescribed on the impermeable boundary of a simply connected bounded regular domain. When the initial vorticity is in the Lebesgue space L^q with $2 < q \le \infty$, we show the degenerate viscous lake equations possess a unique global solution and the solution converges to a corresponding weak solution of the inviscid lake equations. In the special case when the vorticity is in L^∞, an explicit convergence rate is obtained.

KEY WORDS: Lake equations, Vanishing viscosity limit, Navier boundary conditions

2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 35Q30, 76D03, 76D09

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simply connected bounded domain with a smooth boundary and let $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega$ denote its closure and boundary, respectively. Let I denote the 2×2 identity matrix. Let $b(x) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a given function with $b(x) > 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$. We are not assuming that b is nondegenerate, namely that b may be zero on $\partial\Omega$. Consider the viscous lake equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^\mu + u^\mu \cdot \nabla u^\mu - \mu b^{-1} \nabla \cdot (2bD(u^\mu) - b\nabla \cdot u^\mu I) + \nabla p^\mu = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot (bu^\mu) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $x \in \Omega$, $t > 0$, $\mu > 0$ represents the viscosity coefficient and $u^\mu = u^\mu(x,t)$ stands for the two-dimensional velocity field and $D(u^\mu)$ the deformation tensor, namely

$$D(u^\mu) = \frac{\nabla u^\mu + (\nabla u^\mu)^t}{2}.$$

Attention here is focused on the initial- and boundary-value problem (IBVP) for (1.1) with the free boundary condition

$$bu^\mu \cdot n = 0, \ \ \nabla \times u^\mu = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \, t > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and a given initial data

$$u^\mu(x,t) \mid_{t=0} = u_0, \ \ x \in \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where n denotes the unit normal vector and u_0 is assumed to satisfy the boundary condition in (1.2) and $\nabla \cdot (bu_0) = 0$. (1.2) is a special case of the general Navier boundary condition

$$bu^\mu \cdot n = 0, \ \ 2D(u^\mu)n \cdot \tau + \alpha u \cdot \tau = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \tag{1.4}$$

and (1.4) reduces to (1.2) when $\alpha(x) = \kappa(x)$, where τ is the unit tangential vector, $\alpha(x)$ denotes the boundary drag coefficient and $\kappa(x)$ is the curvature.

In the case when $\mu = 0$, (1.1) formally reduces to the inviscid lake equations,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^0 + u^0 \cdot \nabla u^0 + \nabla p^0 = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot (b\, u^0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

but the corresponding boundary condition is

$$bu^0 \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1.6}$$

The viscous lake equations (1.1) have been derived to model the evolution of the vertically averaged horizontal components of the 3D velocity to the incompressible viscous fluid confined to a shallow basin with a varying bottom topography (see [4, 5, 14]) while the invisvid lake equations (1.5) describe the evolution of similar physical quantities governed by the Euler equations (see [8, 12]). Physically $b = b(x)$ denotes the depth of the basin. Our intention here is to deal with the situation when $b = b(x)$ is degenerate, namely that

$$b(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \ \text{ and } \quad b(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

As in [3], we write $\partial\Omega$ as the zero level set of a smooth function. That is,

$$b(x) = \varphi(x)^a, \quad \Omega = \{\varphi > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial\Omega = \{\varphi = 0\}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $a > 0$ and $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$.

Our goal here is to understand the vanishing viscosity limit of solutions to the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) when the initial vorticity $\omega_0 = b^{-1}\nabla \times u_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ for some q satisfying $2 < q \leq \infty$. To deal with the vanishing viscosity limit problem, we first establish the global existence of solutions to the viscous IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) with $\omega_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $2 < q \leq \infty$. For the inviscid IBVP (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3), there is an adequate theory on the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions. For the general case $\omega_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $2 < q \leq \infty$, a global weak solution to (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) in the distributional sense is obtained in [10, 12] for nondegenerate $b(x)$, namely

$$0 < b_1 \leq b(x) \leq b_2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.8}$$

When $b(x)$ is degenerate, the global weak solution can be obtained by replacing $b(x)$ by $b(x) + \epsilon$ for small $\epsilon > 0$, applying the result for the nondegerate case in [10] and taking the limit as $\epsilon \to 0$. The weak solutions of (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) are in the distribution sense and their uniqueness is unknown if we just have $\omega_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $2 < q < \infty$. If $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, [3] established the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions in the class $\omega \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T])$ for any $T > 0$. With these existence and uniqueness results at our disposal, we are able to establish two vanishing viscosity limit results. The first one is the strong convergence

$$u^\mu \to u^0 \quad \text{in } L^r(0, T; W^{\alpha, r'}(\Omega)) \quad \text{as } \mu \to 0,$$

where u^μ and u^0 refer to the aforementioned solutions of (1.1)-(1.3) and of (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) associated with $\omega_0 \in L^q$, respectively, and the indices r and α will be specified later. When $\omega_0 \in L^\infty$, an explicit rate of convergence can be obtained. More precisely, we have

$$\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\, M^{2(1-e^{-\tilde{C}t})} \left(\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu t\right)^{e^{-\tilde{C}t}}.$$

Precise statements of these results will be given in the following section.

To put our results in proper context, we briefly summarize some recent work on the viscous and inviscid lake equations. When $b = 1$, (1.1) and (1.5) become the classical Navier-Stokes and Euler equations, respectively. There is a large literature on the inviscid limit of the Navier-stokes equations with the Navier boundary conditions (see, e.g., [1, 2, 7, 9, 15, 16]). If b is not a constant but nondegenerate, namely b satisfies (1.8), the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) is obtained in [14] while the global weak solutions to the IBVP (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) has been studied by D. Levermore, M. Oliver and E. Titi in [12] and [13]. The vanishing viscosity limit of (1.1)-(1.3) in the case when b is nondegenerate was investigated by Jiu and Niu ([10]). They proved that the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) with any initial vorticity in L^p $(1 < p \leq \infty)$ converges to a weak solution of (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3). In another recent work [11], Jiu and Niu studied the viscous boundary layer problem for (1.1) with Navier boundary conditions.

We remark that the vanishing viscosity limit problem for the case when b is degenerate is more difficult than the nondegenerate case. A key tool employed here is an elliptic type estimate for degenerate equations (see [3] and Lemma 2.7 below). This estimate allows us to bound the $W^{1,q}$-norm of u^μ and u^0 uniformly with respect to the degenerate $b(x)$. Other techniques involved such as the Yudovich approach will be unfolded in the subsequent sections.

The rest of this paper is divided into three sections. The second section states the main results and provides tools to be used in the subsequent sections. The third section establishes the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) while the last section presents the inviscid limit results.

2. MAIN RESULTS AND PREPARATIONS

This section provides the precise statements of the main results and list some of the tools to be used in the proofs of these theorems.

One of the main theorems asserts the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the viscous IBVP (1.1)-(1.3). This theorem involves the vorticity formulation. If u^μ solves the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3), then it can be verified (see [10]) that $\omega^\mu = b^{-1}\nabla \times u^\mu$ solves the following IBVP for the vorticity equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega^\mu + u^\mu \cdot \nabla \omega^\mu - \mu \Delta \omega^\mu + 3\mu b^{-1} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \omega^\mu = \mu G(u^\mu, \nabla u^\mu), \\ b\omega^\mu = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \\ b\omega^\mu(\cdot, 0) = b\omega_0, \quad x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $G(u^\mu, \nabla u^\mu)$ involves only the linear terms of the first derivatives of u^μ, and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G = (b^{-1}\Delta b + |\nabla \ln b|^2)\omega^\mu + b^{-1}\nabla \times ((\nabla u^\mu \cdot) \ln b) \\ + \ b^{-1}\nabla \times (\nabla \ln b (u^\mu \cdot \nabla(\ln b))). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Consider the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) with* $b = b(x)$ *being given by* (1.7) *for* $a \geq 2$. *Assume* $\sqrt{b}u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $\omega_0 = b^{-1}\nabla \times u_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ *for some* q *satisfying* $2 < q < \infty$. *Then (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique solution which satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt}\displaystyle\int_\Omega \phi \cdot u^\mu b dx + 2\mu \int_\Omega Du^\mu : D\phi \, bdx - \mu \int_\Omega divu^\mu div\phi \, bdx \\ \qquad + \displaystyle\int_\Omega u^\mu \cdot \nabla u^\mu \cdot \phi \, bdx + 2\mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa u^\mu \cdot \phi b dS = 0, \\ bu^\mu \cdot n = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \\ u^\mu(x,0) = u_0, \quad x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

for any $\phi \in W^{1,\frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$ *with* $\phi \cdot n = 0$ *on* $\partial\Omega$.

In addition, $\omega^\mu = b^{-1}\nabla \times u^\mu$ *is well-defined, and satisfies* (2.1) *in the distribution sense. Furthermore, for any* $T > 0$, $b^{\frac{1}{p}}\omega^\mu \in C([0,T]; L^q(\Omega))$ *and*

$$\|\sqrt{b}u^\mu\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq C, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|u^\mu\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq C, \tag{2.4}$$

where C *is a constant depending on* a, q, T, $\|\varphi\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega})}$ *and the initial norms* $\|\sqrt{b}u_0\|_{L^2}$ *and* $\|\omega_0\|_{L^q}$ *only.*

Since Ω is a bounded domain, $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ can be treated as a special case of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Consider the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) with* $b = b(x)$ *being given by* (1.7) *for* $a \geq 2$. *Assume* $\sqrt{b}u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. *Then (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique solution* u^μ *obeys* (2.3) *and* (2.4) *for any* $2 < q < \infty$.

It is not clear if the vorticity ω^μ is in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. The approach of taking the limit of $\|\omega\|_{L^q}$ as $q \to \infty$ would not work since the bound for $\|\omega\|_{L^q}$ grows with respect to q very quickly (see the bound in Lemma 3.2).

Two other main results are the following theorems on inviscid limits. The first one is a strong convergence result without an explicit rate. In the following theorem u^0 denotes a weak solution of the inviscid IBVP (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) in the distributional sense. As we explained in the introduction, such weak solutions exist for all time. For the case when $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions was obtained by D. Bresch and G. Metivier [3].

Lemma 2.3. *Consider the inviscid IBVP (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) with* $b = b(x)$ *being given by* (1.7) *for* $a \geq 2$. *Assume* $\sqrt{b}u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. *Then (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3) has a unique solution* u^0 *satisfies, for any* $2 < p < \infty$ *and any* $T > 0$,

$$u^0 \in C([0,T]; W^{1,p}), \quad \omega^0 \in C([0,T]; L^p) \cap L^\infty([0,T] \times \Omega)$$

and

$$\sup_{p \geq 3} \frac{1}{p} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u^0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

We now state our first vanishing viscosity limit result.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $b(x) = \varphi^a$ *be given as in* (1.7) *with* $a \geq 2$. *Assume* $\sqrt{b}u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $\omega_0 \in L^q(\Omega)$ *for some* $2 < q \leq \infty$. *Let* u^μ *be the unique solution established in Theorem 2.1. Let* $\omega^\mu = b^{-1}\nabla \times u^\mu$. *Then, for any* $1 < r < \infty$ *satisfying* $1 < 1/r + 2/q < 3/2$,

$$u^\mu \longrightarrow u^0 \;\text{ in }\; L^r(0,T; W^{\alpha,r'}(\Omega)),$$

where r' *is the conjugate index of* r, $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ *and* $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *satisfies* $1/r' < 1/q - (1-\alpha)/2$. *Moreover,* u^0 *is the weak solution to* (1.5) *and* (1.6), *satisfying, in the case when* $2 < q < \infty$

$$\sqrt{b}u^0 \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \omega^0 \in L^\infty([0,T], L^q(\Omega))$$

and, if $q = \infty$, $\omega^0 \in L^\infty([0,T], L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega))$ *for any* $1 \leq \tilde{q} < \infty$.

We remark that, when $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the weak solution u^0 in Theorem 2.4 coincides with the unique weak solution in Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. *If* $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, *the weak solution* u^0 *in Theorem 2.4 coincides with the unique weak solution in Lemma 2.3.*

When $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we obtain an explicit convergence rate.

Theorem 2.6. *Let* $b(x) = \varphi^a$ *be given as in* (1.7) *with* $a \geq 2$. *Assume* $\sqrt{b}u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ *and* $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. *Let* u^μ *be the unique solution established in Theorem 2.1 and let* u^0 *be the unique weak solution of the IBVP (1.5),(1.6) and (1.3). Then, for any* $T > 0$ *and* $t \leq T$,

$$\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\, M^{2(1-e^{-\tilde{C}t})} \left(\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu t \right)^{e^{-\tilde{C}t}},$$

where C, $\tilde{C}$ *and* M *are constants depending on* a, T, $\|\varphi\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega})}$ *and the norms* $\|\sqrt{b}u_0\|_{L^2}$ *and* $\|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty}$ *only. Especially, if* $\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(0)\|_{L^2} \to 0$, *then* $\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(t)\|_{L^2} \to 0$ *with an explicit rate, as* $\mu \to 0$.

We now list some of the tools to be used in the proofs of the theorems stated above. The first one is an estimate for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. This estimate was obtained in [3, Theorem 2.3].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a simply connected bounded domain with a smooth boundary and let $b = b(x)$ be given by (1.7). Consider*

$$\nabla \cdot (bv) = 0, \quad \nabla \times v = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad (bv) \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

If, for $2 < p < \infty$,

$$bv \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in L^p(\Omega),$$

then

$$v \in C^{1-\frac{d}{p}}(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \nabla v \in L^p(\Omega), \quad v \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

and, for a constant C_p depending on p only,

$$\|v\|_{C^{1-\frac{d}{p}}} \le C_p\,(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|bv\|_{L^2}).$$

Especially,

$$\|v\|_{L^p} \le C\|v\|_{L^\infty} \le C_p\,(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|bv\|_{L^2}). \tag{2.5}$$

In addition, for any $p_0 > 2$ and $p_0 < p < \infty$, there is a constant C depending on p_0 only such that

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^p} \le Cp\,(\|f\|_{L^p} + \|bv\|_{L^2}). \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.1. The estimates in Lemma 2.7 bound the $W^{1,p}$-norm of v uniformly with respect to b. The estimates in (2.5) and (2.6) actually hold for $p = 2$, namely the H^1-norm of v is bounded by $C(\|f\|_{L^2} + \|bv\|_{L^2})$.

The following lemma reformulates the Navier friction condition in terms of vorticity (see, e.g., [15]).

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ with $v \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then,*

$$D(v)n \cdot \tau = -\kappa(v \cdot \tau) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla \times v \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where τ denotes the unit tangent vector and κ the curvature of $\partial\Omega$. In particular, if $\nabla \times v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then

$$D(v)n \cdot \tau = -\kappa(v \cdot \tau) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

We will also need the following Osgood type inequality(see, e.g., [6]).

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\alpha(t) > 0$ be a locally integrable function. Assume $\omega(t) \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\omega(r)}dr = \infty.$$

Suppose that $\rho(t) > 0$ *satisfies*

$$\rho(t) \leq a + \int_{t_0}^{t} \alpha(s)\omega(\rho(s))ds$$

for some constant $a \geq 0$*. Then if* $a = 0$*, then* $\rho \equiv 0$*; if* $a > 0$*, then*

$$-\Omega(\rho(t)) + \Omega(a) \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} \alpha(\tau)d\tau,$$

where

$$\Omega(x) = \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dr}{\omega(r)}.$$

3. GLOBAL SOLUTIONS OF THE VISCOUS EQUATIONS

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. For this purpose, we first establish several *a priori* estimates including a global L^2-bound for the velocity, a global L^q-bound for the vorticity and a global $L^2_t H^1_x$ bound for the velocity.

We start with the L^2-bound for the velocity.

Lemma 3.1. *(L^2-Estimate) Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold and let* u^μ *be a smooth solution of* (1.1)*. Then, for any* $T > 0$*,*

$$\|\sqrt{b}u^\mu\|^2_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2(\Omega))} + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa|u^\mu \cdot \tau|^2 bdS \leq \|\sqrt{b}u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\kappa \geq 0$ *is the curvature of* $\partial\Omega$*.*

Proof. We take the inner product of the first equation of (1.1) with bu^μ and integrate by parts. Due to the divergence free condition $\nabla \cdot (bu^\mu) = 0$, the contribution from the nonlinear term and the pressure term is zero. The inner product with the dissipative term is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu \int_\Omega u^\mu \cdot \nabla \cdot (2bDu^\mu - b\nabla \cdot u^\mu I)\, dx \\
&= -\mu \int_{\partial\Omega} (2u^\mu \cdot Du^\mu n - (u^\mu \cdot n)\nabla \cdot u^\mu)bdS \\
&\quad + 2\mu \int_\Omega \nabla u^\mu : Du^\mu bdx - \mu \int_\Omega (\nabla \cdot u^\mu)^2 bdx.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing $u^\mu = (u^\mu \cdot n)n + (u^\mu \cdot \tau)\tau$, applying the boundary condition in (1.2) and the basic identity $\nabla u^\mu : Du^\mu = Du^\mu : Du^\mu$, and invoking Lemma 2.8, namely $D(u^\mu)n \cdot \tau = -\kappa(u^\mu \cdot \tau)$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^\mu|^2 b dx + 2\mu \int_\Omega Du^\mu : Du^\mu \, b dx - \mu \int_\Omega (\nabla \cdot u^\mu)^2 \, b dx$$

$$+2\mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa |u^\mu \cdot \tau|^2 b dS = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where κ is the curvature of $\partial\Omega$ which is nonnegative by assumption. Since

$$2Du^\mu : Du^\mu - (\nabla \cdot u^\mu)^2 = (\partial_1 u_2^\mu + \partial_2 u_1^\mu)^2 + (\partial_1 u_1^\mu - \partial_2 u_2^\mu)^2 \geq 0,$$

(3.1) then follows from (3.2). The proof of the lemma is then finished.

For the vorticity $\omega^\mu = b^{-1}\nabla \times u^\mu$, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *(Estimate of Vorticity) Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold and let u^μ be a smooth solution of* (1.1). *Let $\omega^\mu = b^{-1}\nabla \times u^\mu$. Then, for any $T > 0$,*

$$\|(b)^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|^q_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq (\|\sqrt{b}u_0\|^q_{L^2} + \|\omega_0\|^q_{L^q})e^{\mu(Cq)^{q+1}T}, \tag{3.3}$$

where C is a constant depending on a, q, T and $\|\varphi\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega})}$.

Proof. As stated in Section 2, ω^μ satisfies (2.1). Taking the inner product of $|\omega^\mu|^{q-2}\omega^\mu b$ with the first equation of (2.1), integrating by parts and using the zero boundary condition for $b\omega^\mu$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\|b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|^q_{L^q} + \frac{4(q-1)}{q^2}\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla(\omega^\mu)^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 b dx$$

$$\leq \mu \left| \int_\Omega |G(u^\mu, \nabla u^\mu)||\omega^\mu|^{q-2}\omega^\mu b dx \right| + 4\mu \left| \int_\Omega \nabla b \cdot \nabla \omega^\mu |\omega^\mu|^{q-2}\omega^\mu dx \right|.$$

To bound the first term, we first notice from (2.2) that

$$\|bG(u^\mu, \nabla u^\mu)\|_{L^q} \leq \|u^\mu\|_{W^{1,q}}.$$

It then follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\mu \left| \int_\Omega |G(u^\mu, \nabla u^\mu)||\omega^\mu|^{q-2}\omega^\mu b dx \right| \leq C\mu \|u^\mu\|_{W^{1,q}} \|\omega^\mu\|^{q-1}_{L^q}.$$

To bound the last term, we recall that $b = \varphi^a$ with $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Therefore, for $a \geq 2$,

$$|\nabla b|^2 = |a\varphi^{a-1}\nabla\varphi|^2 \leq C\varphi^{2a-2} \leq C\varphi^a = Cb. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus, by Hölder's and Young's inequalities,

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla b \cdot \nabla \omega^\mu||\omega^\mu|^{q-2}\omega^\mu dx \leq \frac{\mu}{q}\int |\nabla(\omega^\mu)^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 b dx + \frac{C\mu}{q}\|\omega^\mu\|^q_{L^q},$$

where C is independent of q. Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}\frac{d}{dt}\|b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}^q + \frac{3q-4}{q^2}\mu\int_\Omega |\nabla(\omega^\mu)^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 b dx$$

$$\leq \frac{C\mu}{q}\|\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}^q + \mu\|u^\mu\|_{W^{1,q}}\|\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}^{q-1}.$$

By the estimates in Lemma 2.7,

$$\|\omega^\mu\|_{L^q} \leq \|\nabla u^\mu\|_{L^q} \leq Cq\,(\|b\,\omega^\mu\|_{L^q} + \|bu^\mu\|_{L^2}).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}^q + \frac{3q-4}{q}\mu\int_\Omega |\nabla(\omega^\mu)^{\frac{q}{2}}|^2 b dx$$

$$\leq \mu(Cq)^q(\|b\,\omega^\mu\|_{L^q} + \|bu^\mu\|_{L^2})^q$$

$$\leq \mu(Cq)^{q+1}(\|b\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}^q + \|bu^\mu\|_{L^2}^q).$$

Noticing that $\|b\omega^\mu\|_{L^q} \leq \|b^{1/q}\omega^\mu\|_{L^q}$ and applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)}^q \leq (\|\sqrt{b}u_0\|_{L^2}^q + \|\omega_0\|_{L^q}^q)e^{\mu(Cq)^{q+1}T},$$

which is (3.3). The proof of the lemma is complete.

The following lemma provides a bound for $\|\sqrt{b}\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega\times[0,T])}$. In addition, its proof is also useful in proving Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold and let u^μ be a smooth solution of* (1.1). *Then, for any $T > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{b}u^\mu\|_{L^\infty((0,T);L^2(\Omega))}^2 &+ \mu\int_0^T \|\sqrt{b}\nabla u^\mu(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \\ &+ \mu\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|u^\mu\cdot\tau|^2 b dS dt \leq C(\|\sqrt{b}u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Substituting the identity

$$2Du^\mu : Du^\mu - (\nabla\cdot u^\mu)^2 = |\nabla u^\mu|^2 + 2(\partial_1 u_2^\mu\partial_2 u_1^\mu - \partial_1 u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu)$$

into (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^\mu|^2 b dx + \mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\mu|^2 b dx - 2\mu\int_\Omega(\partial_1 u_1^\mu\partial_2 u_2^\mu - \partial_1 u_2^\mu\partial_2 u_1^\mu) b dx \\ &+2\mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|u^\mu\cdot\tau|^2 b dS = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\equiv 2\int_\Omega (\partial_1 u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - \partial_1 u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu) b dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla\cdot (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu) b dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla\cdot [(u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu) b] dx \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot \nabla b dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu) \\
&= u_1^\mu(\partial_2 u_2^\mu, -\partial_1 u_2^\mu) - u_2^\mu(\partial_2 u_1^\mu, -\partial_1 u_1^\mu)
\end{aligned}$$

and applying the divergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J = &\int_{\partial\Omega} (u_1^\mu \tau\cdot\nabla u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \tau\cdot\nabla u_1^\mu) b dS \\
&- \int_\Omega (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot \nabla b dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $bu^\mu \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have $bu^\mu = (bu^\mu\cdot\tau)\tau$ on $\partial\Omega$. Writing $u_1^\mu \tau\cdot\nabla u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \tau\cdot\nabla u_1^\mu = -\tau\cdot\nabla u^\mu\cdot(u_2^\mu, -u_1^\mu)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
J = -&\int_{\partial\Omega} (\tau\cdot\nabla u^\mu\cdot n)(u^\mu\cdot\tau) b dS \\
&- \int_\Omega (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot \nabla b dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
J = &\int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa |u^\mu\cdot\tau|^2 b dS \\
&- \int_\Omega (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot \nabla b dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^\mu|^2 b dx + 2\mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\mu|^2 b dx + \mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|u^\mu\cdot\tau|^2 b dS \\
&= -\mu\int_\Omega (u_1^\mu \partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu \partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu \partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu \partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot \nabla b dx. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and using (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu\left|\int_\Omega (u_1^\mu\partial_2 u_2^\mu - u_2^\mu\partial_2 u_1^\mu, u_2^\mu\partial_1 u_1^\mu - u_1^\mu\partial_1 u_2^\mu)\cdot\nabla b dx\right| \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\mu|^2 b dx + C\,\mu\|u^\mu\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\mu|^2 b dx + C\mu(\|b^{1/2}\omega^\mu\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b^{1/2}u^\mu\|_{L^2}^2). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.7) with (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |u^\mu|^2 b dx + \mu\int_\Omega |\nabla u^\mu|^2 b dx \\
&\le C\mu(\|b^{1/2}\omega^\mu\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b^{1/2}u^\mu\|_{L^2}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.3) and the Gronwall inequality, we obtain (3.5) and thus finish the proof of this lemma.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small parameter. We construct the approximate solutions $(u^{\epsilon,\mu}, \omega^{\epsilon,\mu})$ to the nondegenerate viscous lake equations with $b^\epsilon = b + \epsilon$, namely

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t u^{\epsilon,\mu} + u^{\epsilon,\mu}\cdot\nabla u^{\epsilon,\mu} \\
\qquad -\mu(b^\epsilon)^{-1}\nabla\cdot(2b^\epsilon D(u^{\epsilon,\mu}) - b^\epsilon\nabla\cdot u^{\epsilon,\mu} I) + \nabla p^{\epsilon,\mu} = 0, \\
\nabla\cdot(b^\epsilon u^\mu) = 0, \\
b^\epsilon u^{\epsilon,\mu}\cdot n = 0,\ b^\epsilon\omega^\mu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
u^{\epsilon,\mu}(x,t)\mid_{t=0} = u_0.
\end{cases} \qquad (3.9)$$

Since b^ϵ is nondegenerate, the global existence and uniqueness of such solutions can be obtained by a similar approach as in [10]. Moreover, $u^{\epsilon,\mu}$ satisfies (3.9) in the sense of distribution

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \phi\cdot u^{\epsilon,\mu} b^\epsilon dx + 2\mu\int_\Omega Du^{\epsilon,\mu} : D\phi\, b^\epsilon dx \\
&\quad - \mu\int_\Omega div u^{\epsilon,\mu} div\phi\, b^\epsilon dx + \int_\Omega u^{\epsilon,\mu}\cdot\nabla u^{\epsilon,\mu}\cdot\phi\, b^\epsilon dx \\
&\quad + \mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa(u^{\epsilon,\mu}\cdot\phi) b^\epsilon dS = 0 \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\phi \in W^{1,\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)$ with $\phi\cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Thanks to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we deduce the uniform estimates, for any $T > 0$,

$$\|\sqrt{b^\epsilon}u^{\epsilon,\mu}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)} + \|(b^\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{\epsilon,\mu}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)} \leq C. \tag{3.11}$$

By the estimates in Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u^{\epsilon,\mu}\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq C(\|\sqrt{b^\epsilon}u^{\epsilon,\mu}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}& \\ + \|(b^\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^{\epsilon,\mu}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^q)}) \leq C.&\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In these inequalities C's are constants depending on T and q but not on ϵ or μ. Furthermore, using (3.10), we can prove that $\partial_t u^{\epsilon,\mu}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty((0,T); H^{-s}_{loc}(\Omega))$ for some $s > 2$. Thus (3.11) and (3.12) yield the compactness of $\sqrt{b^\epsilon}u^{\epsilon,\mu}$ in $L^2(0,T; L^2_{loc}(\Omega))$ by Aubin-Lions Lemma. This allows to pass to the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ in (3.10) to get the existence of weak solutions of (1.1)-(1.3). Moreover, the solution u^μ, ω^μ satisfy the estimates of (3.11) and (3.12). Using similar estimates of (3.9) and (3), we can prove uniqueness of the weak solutions and we omit further details. The proof of the theorem is now finished.

4. VANISHING VISCOSITY LIMITS

This section proves Theorem 2.4, and Theorem 2.6, the vanishing viscosity limit results. In addition, a proof of Corollary 2.5 is also provided at the end of this section.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. According to Theorem 2.1 and its proof given in the previous section, the unique solution u^μ of the IBVP (1.1)-(1.3) satisfies

$$\sqrt{b}u^\mu \in C(0,T;L^2) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)),$$

$$u^\mu \in L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\Omega)), \quad b^{\frac{1}{q}}\omega^\mu \in L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\Omega))$$

and, for any test function $\phi \in C([0,T); W^{1,\frac{q}{q-1}})$ with $\phi \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega \phi u^\mu b dx + 2\mu\int_0^T\int_\Omega Du^\mu : D\phi b dx + \mu\int_0^T\int_\Omega \nabla\cdot u^\mu div\phi b dx\\ &+\int_0^T\int_\Omega u^\mu\cdot\nabla u^\mu\cdot\phi b dx + \mu\int_0^T\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa(u^\mu\cdot\phi) b dS\\ &= \int_\Omega u_0\phi(0,\cdot) b dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can take a subsequence, denoted by u^{μ_k}, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & u^{\mu_k} \rightharpoonup u^0 \quad \text{in } \ w*-L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), \\ & \omega^{\mu_k} \rightharpoonup \omega^0, \quad \text{in } \ w*-L^\infty(0,T;L^q(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

as $k \longrightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for any $1<r<\infty$ satisfying $1<1/r+2/q<3/2$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$ satisfying $1/r' < 1/q-(1-\alpha)/2$,

$$u^\mu \longrightarrow u^0 \ \text{ in } \ L^r(0,T;W^{\alpha,r'}(\Omega)),$$

where r' is the conjugate index of r, $1/r+1/r'=1$.

In addition, the limiting function u^0 satisfies the weak form of the inviscid lake equations, that is,

$$\int_\Omega \phi u^0 b dx + \int_0^T \int_\Omega u^0 \cdot \nabla u^0 \cdot \phi b dx = \int_\Omega u_0 \phi(0,\cdot) b dx.$$

This completes the proof.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. The differences $v=u^\mu-u^0$ and $p=p^\mu-p^0$ formally satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v\cdot\nabla u^0 + u^\mu\cdot\nabla v \\ \qquad\qquad -\mu b^{-1}\nabla\cdot(2bDu^\mu - b\nabla\cdot u^\mu) + \nabla p = 0, \\ \nabla\cdot(bv)=0, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

with the boundary condition $bv\cdot n=0$. Taking the inner product of (4.1) with bv, integrating by parts and applying the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{b}v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla u^0\cdot v b dx + 2\mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|v|^2 b dS \\ & \quad +2\mu\int_\Omega D(v):D(v) b dx - \mu\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot v)^2 b dx \\ & = -2\mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa u^0\cdot v b dS - 2\mu\int_\Omega D(u^0):D(v) b dx \\ & \quad +\mu\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot u^0)(\nabla\cdot v) b dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We remark that (4.2) can be obtained rigorously by using the weak form of the equations. We then combine the terms

$$2\mu\int_\Omega D(v):D(v) b dx - \mu\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot v)^2 b dx$$

and bound them as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. More explicitly, as calculations in lemma 3.5, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\mu\int_\Omega D(v):D(v)bdx-\mu\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot v)^2bdx\\
&=\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx-2\mu\int_\Omega(\partial_1v_1\partial_2v_2-\partial_1v_2\partial_2v)bdx\\
&=\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx-\mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|u^\mu\cdot\tau|^2bdS\\
&\qquad+\mu\int_\Omega(u_1^\mu\partial_2u_2^\mu-u_2^\mu\partial_2u_1^\mu,u_2^\mu\partial_1u_1^\mu-u_1^\mu\partial_1u_2^\mu)\cdot\nabla bdx,
\end{aligned}$$

and then bound the last term above as in (3.8), namely

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu\left|\int_\Omega(u_1^\mu\partial_2u_2^\mu-u_2^\mu\partial_2u_1^\mu,u_2^\mu\partial_1u_1^\mu-u_1^\mu\partial_1u_2^\mu)\cdot\nabla bdx\right|\\
&\quad\le\frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx+C\mu(\|b^{1/2}u^\mu\|_{L^2}^2+\|b^{1/2}\omega^\mu\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&\quad\le\frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx+C\mu(\|b^{1/2}u^0\|_{L^2}^2+\|b^{1/2}u^\mu\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&\qquad\qquad+C\mu(\|b^{1/2}\omega^0\|_{L^2}^2+\|b^{1/2}\omega^\mu\|_{L^2}^2)\\
&\quad\le\frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx+C\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where C's depend on the initial norms $\|b^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty}$ only. Applying Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.7, we have, for any $T>0$ and $t\le T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{b}v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2+\frac{1}{2}\mu\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2bdx+\mu\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa\,|v|^2bdS\\
&\quad\le C\mu+\left|\int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla u^0\cdot vbdx\right|\\
&\qquad+2\mu\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|u^0|^2bdS\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\kappa|v|^2bdS\right)^{1/2}\\
&\qquad+2\mu\|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\left(\int_\Omega|D(v)|^2bdx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad+\mu\|\nabla\cdot u^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\left(\int_\Omega(\nabla\cdot v)^2bdx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Applying the bounds $\|b^{1/2}u^0\|_{L^2} \leq C$ for C independent of μ and by Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C\,\|\nabla u^0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(\|b\omega^0\|_{L^3(\Omega)} + \|bu^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where C's depend on the initial norms $\|b^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\omega_0\|_{L^\infty}$ only, we have from (4.3) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\sqrt{b}v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\mu}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 b dx \leq 2\left|\int_\Omega v\cdot\nabla u^0\cdot v b dx\right| + C\mu, \quad (4.4)$$

where C is independent of μ. Since ∇u^0 is not known to be bounded in L^∞, we follow the Yudovich approach to deal with the nonlinear term (see, e.g., [17] and [3]). For this purpose, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &L := \sup_{p\geq 3} \frac{1}{p}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u^0|^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ &M := \|u^0\|_{L^\infty} + \|u^\mu\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, $L < \infty$ and by Lemma 2.7, $M < \infty$. Now, for $\delta > 0$, let

$$\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) = \|\sqrt{b}v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \delta.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to the nonlinear term in (4.4), we have, for any $p \geq 3$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) \leq pLM^{\frac{2}{p}}\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} + C\mu. \quad (4.5)$$

Optimizing the bound on the right of (4.5) with respect to $p \geq 3$ yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) \leq Ce(\ln M^2 - \ln\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t))\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) + C\mu.$$

Integrating in time leads to

$$\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) \leq \Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(0) + C\mu t + Ce\int_0^t \rho(\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(\tau))d\tau,$$

where $\rho(x) = x(\ln M^2 - \ln x)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) &= \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{\rho(y)} = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y(\ln M^2 - \ln y)} \\ &= \ln(\ln M^2 - \ln x) - \ln\ln M^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.9, we get

$$-\Omega(\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t)) + \Omega(\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(0) + C\mu t) \leq \tilde{C}t,$$

where C and $\tilde{C}$ are constants independent of μ. Therefore,

$$-\ln(\ln M^2 - \ln \Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t)) + \ln(\ln M^2 - \ln(\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(0) + C\mu t)) \leq \tilde{C}t.$$

That is,

$$\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(t) \leq M^{2(1-e^{-\tilde{C}t})}(\Gamma_{\mu,\delta}(0) + \mu t)^{e^{-\tilde{C}t}}.$$

Letting $\delta \to 0$, we obtain

$$\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\, M^{2(1-e^{-\tilde{C}t})} \left(\|\sqrt{b}(u^\mu - u^0)(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu t\right)^{e^{-\tilde{C}t}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

We finally prove Corollary 2.5.

Proof of Corollary 2.5. Let $\omega_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and let u_1^0 and u_2^0 be weak solutions given by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, respectively. Then, the difference

$$\bar{u}^0 = u_1^0 - u_2^0$$

satisfies the energy inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega |\bar{u}^0|^2 b dx \leq 2\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\bar{u}|^2 |\nabla u_1^0| b dx.$$

A Yudovich type argument as in the previous proof would lead to $\bar{u}^0 = 0$, or $u_1^0 = u_2^0$. We have thus completed the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Jiu's research was partially supported by NSFC grants No. 10871133 & No. 10771177. Niu's research was partially supported by NSFC grant No. 10871133. Wu's research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 0907913 and the AT&T foundation at Oklahoma State University.

REFERENCES

[1] C. Bardos, Existence et unicité de la solution de l'équation d'Euler en dimension deux, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* **40** (1972), 769-790.

[2] H. Beirao da Veiga and F. Crispo, Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions: an L^p theory, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **12** (2010), 397-411.

[3] D. Bresch, and G. Métivier. Global existence and uniqueness for the lake equations with vanishing topography : elliptic estimates for degenerate equations, *Nonlinearity* **19** (2006), 591-610.

[4] R. Camassa, D.D. Holm and C.D. Levermore, Long-time effects of bottom topography in shallow water, *Physica D* **98** (1996), 258-86.

[5] R. Camassa, D.D. Holm and C.D. Levermore, Long-time shallow-water equations with a varying bottom, *J. Fluid Mech.* **349** (1997), 173-89.

[6] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner, Flot de champs de vecteurs non Lipschitziens et $\acute{e}$quations de Navier-Stokes, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **121** (1995), 314-328.

[7] T. Clopeau, A. Mikelić and R. Robert. On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary conditions, *Nonlinearity* **11** (1998), 1625-1636.

[8] H. Greenspan, *The theory of rotating fluids*, Breukelen Press, 1968.

[9] D. Iftimie and F. Sueur. Viscous boundary layers for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions, *Preprint*, 2007.

[10] Q. Jiu and D. Niu, Vanishing viscous limits for the 2D lake equations with Navier boundary conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338** (2008), 1070-1080.

[11] Q. Jiu, D. Niu. Viscous boundary layers for the lake equations with Navier boundary conditions, *Preprint*, 2009.

[12] C. D. Levermore, M. Oliver and E. S. Titi, Global well-posedness for models of shallow water in a basin with a varying bottom, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **45** (1996), 479-510.

[13] C. D. Levermore, M. Oliver and E. S. Titi, Global well-posedness for the lake equations, *Physica D* **98** (1996), 492-509.

[14] C. D. Levermore and M. Sammartino. A shallow water model with eddy viscosity for basins with varying bottom topography, *Nonlinearity* **14** (2001), 1493-1515.

[15] M. C. Lopes Filho, H. J. Nussenzveig Lopes and G. Planas, On the inviscid limit for two-dimensional incompressible flow with Navier friction condition, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **36** (2005), 1130–1141 (electronic).

[16] Y. Xiao and Z.-P. Xin, On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 3d Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), 1027-1055.

[17] V.I. Yudovich, Nonstationary flows of an ideal incompressible liquid, *Zhurnal Vych. Math.* **6** (1963), 1032-1066.